A kinetic study of the formation of a model high-valent diiron non-heme complex, [Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(μ-O)$_2$(tpa)$_2$]$^{3+}$ (tpa = tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine), by cryogenic stopped-flow techniques†

Sergey V. Kryatov and Elena V. Rybak-Akimova *

Department of Chemistry, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, USA

[Fe$_2$(μ-O)(tpa)$_2$(OH)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$ reacts with H$_2$O$_2$ in acetonitrile at -40 °C forming a transient diferric peroxo intermediate ($\lambda_{max} = 700$ nm, $\varepsilon = 1800$ dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}), which then reacts with a second H$_2$O$_2$ molecule to give [Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(μ-O)$_2$(tpa)$_2$]$^{3+}$.

Non-heme diiron redox enzymes have been one of the "hot topics" of bioinorganic chemistry for the past decade. Their common mechanistic feature is the formation of a diiron(III) peroxo complex, which then transforms into a high-valent diiron species responsible for the oxidative action of an enzyme.[1–4] The actual need for modeling such a process on simple diiron complexes has been recently stated.[1,2] The only well characterized structural and functional models for the high-valent diiron species are Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(μ-O)$_2$ diamond core complexes with tpa and its derivatives, formed from corresponding Fe^{III}$_2$-complexes and H$_2$O$_2$ at low temperature.[2,5–7] A relatively long-lived peroxo complex has been observed as an intermediate in the formation of Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(μ-O)$_2$(6-Me$_3$-tpa)$_2$$^{3+}$ (6-Me$_3$-tpa = tris(6-methyl-2-pyridylmethyl)amine),[8] with the general scheme similar to the peroxide shunt of diiron non-heme enzymes.[1–3] In this paper, we communicate the direct observation of a transient model diferric peroxo complex quickly converting into a high-valent species.

Mixing of [Fe^{III}$_2$(μ-O)(tpa)$_2$(OH)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ solutions in acetonitrile at -40.0 ± 0.1 °C under pseudo-first order conditions (10-fold or larger excess of H$_2$O$_2$) produces kinetic traces (Fig. 1), which are well fit by two exponential functions yielding wavelength-independent sequential rate constants k'_{obs} and k''_{obs}.‡ Treatment of the data by IS-2 Rapid Kinetics Software (Hi-Tech) using the A→B→C model gave electronic spectra of the three species involved (Fig. 1). The initial and final spectra agree well with those reported for [Fe^{III}$_2$(μ-O)(tpa)$_2$(OH)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$ and [Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(μ-O)$_2$(tpa)$_2$]$^{3+}$, correspondingly.[5,7] The spectrum of the intermediate B$_{(peroxo)}$ has a broad maximum at about 700 nm ($\varepsilon = 1800$ dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}), which is very similar to the H$_{peroxo}$ intermediate of methane monooxygenase ($\lambda_{max} = 700$ nm, $\varepsilon = 1800$ dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1})[4] and the peroxo intermediate of a mutant ribonucleotide reductase ($\lambda_{max} = 700$ nm, $\varepsilon = 1500$ dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}).[9] Such a band is characteristic of Fe^{III}$_2$(μ-peroxo) complexes[10,11] and is referred to LMCT.[12] A similar Fe^{III}$_2$(μ-O)(μ-O$_2$)(6-Me$_3$-tpa)$_2$$^{2+}$ complex has been reported, which converts into [Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(μ-O)$_2$(6-Me$_3$-tpa)$_2$]$^{3+}$ upon the addition of one equiv. of acid.[8] Thus, the species B$_{peroxo}$ is most probably [Fe^{III}$_2$(μ-OH)(μ-O$_2$)(tpa)$_2$]$^{3+}$ or [Fe^{III}$_2$(μ-O$_2$)(tpa)$_2$(OH)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$. It can be also a mixture of these and other diferric peroxo complexes.[10] The rate constant k'_{obs} corresponds to the formation of B$_{peroxo}$, and k''_{obs} to the transformation of B$_{peroxo}$ into the Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}-species. Concentration dependences for the constants k'_{obs} and k''_{obs} allow us to propose a mechanistic scheme for these two consecutive steps (Scheme 1).

Fig. 1 Spectra of the initial complex [Fe$_2$(μ-O)(tpa)$_2$(OH)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$ (2.5×10^{-4} mol dm^{-3}) (♦), transient species B$_{peroxo}$ (■) and [Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(μ-O)$_2$(tpa)$_2$]$^{3+}$ (▲) calculated from kinetic data. [H$_2$O$_2$]$_0$ = 2.5×10^{-3} mol dm^3 (after mixing).

Scheme 1

The formation of the transient intermediate B$_{peroxo}$ is decelerated by water and accelerated by hydrogen peroxide. Plots of kinetic data $k'_{obs}$$^{-1}$ *vs.* [H$_2$O] at constant [H$_2$O$_2$] and $k'_{obs}$$^{-1}$ *vs.*

$[H_2O_2]^{-1}$ at constant $[H_2O]$ are straight lines with practically the same intercept. (SUP 57624) Such behavior suggests the formation of another intermediate (A_1), which exists in equilibrium with the starting complex $[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$ and converts irreversibly into B_{peroxo}. Spectral kinetic data (Fig. 1) show that the intermediate A_1 does not accumulate in the reaction mixture to an appreciable amount, and that the reaction $[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+} \rightarrow A_1$ is the rate-limiting step.

The simplest model to account for the observations is shown in Scheme 1.§

$$k'_{obs} = \frac{k_1(k_2/k_{-1})[H_2O_2]}{(k_2/k_{-1})[H_2O_2] + [H_2O]}$$

The constant $k_1 = 0.5 \pm 0.1$ s^{-1} corresponds to the rate of the H_2O molecule dissociation in $[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$. The ratio $k_2/k_{-1} = 6 \pm 2$ reflects the larger nucleophilicity of H_2O_2 compared to H_2O (α-effect).[13] The dissociative mechanism is common for Fe^{III} olated aqua species due to the labilizing effect of the OH^- ligand.[14] A similar action can be expected from the O^{2-} ligand. Thus, the intermediate A_1 probably is $[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(\eta^1\text{-}OH)]^{3+}$ or $[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O)(\mu\text{-}OH)(tpa)_2]^{3+}$.[15] It can react with H_2O_2 to form $[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O_2)]^{3+}$, which then converts into $[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O_2)(\mu\text{-}OH)(tpa)_2]^{3+}$ or $[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O_2)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$ by proton transfers. The apparent ε of B_{peroxo} (calculated from kinetic data) does not significantly depend on water concentration up to $[H_2O]/[H_2O_2] = 40$. It confirms the presence of a practically irreversible stage in the formation of the peroxo intermediate. Peroxide is apparently a much better ligand for Fe^{III} than oxide or hydroxide, as stable $Fe^{III}(O_2^{2-})$-complexes can form even in aqueous solution.[16]

The observed pseudo-first order rate constant (k''_{obs}) of the following transformation $B_{peroxo} \rightarrow [Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(\mu\text{-}O)_2(tpa)_2]^{3+}$ does not depend on $[H_2O]$, but is proportional to $[H_2O_2]$.

$$k''_{obs} = k_3[H_2O_2]$$

The graph k''_{obs} vs. $[H_2O_2]$ is a straight line with a practically zero intercept and a slope of $k_3 = 10 \pm 2$ dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1}, corresponding to a second-order rate constant for the reaction between B_{peroxo} and H_2O_2 (SUP 57624). The reaction is apparently a redox process with tentative overall stoichiometry:

$2[Fe^{III}_2(\mu\text{-}O_2)(\mu\text{-}OH)(tpa)_2]^{3+} + H_2O_2 \longrightarrow$
$$2[Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(\mu\text{-}O)_2(tpa)_2]^{3+} + O_2 + 2H_2O$$

It should be noted that the presence of a reductant is essential for the action of native ribonucleotide reductase, presumably in order to reduce the Fe^{III}_2-peroxo intermediate to the high-valent $Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}$ intermediate X.[3] In the model system studied here, H_2O_2 is the most probable reductant.

The yields of $[Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}(\mu\text{-}O)_2(tpa)_2]^{3+}$ have never been quantitative, reaching the maximum of ca. 70% (based on the reported value $\varepsilon = 5500$ dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1})[6] at 40-fold or more excess of H_2O_2, which corresponds to $[H_2O_2]_o \geq 10$ mM under our conditions. The same maximum yield has been reported by Que's group with only 1.5-fold excess of H_2O_2, which corresponds also to ca. 10 mM H_2O_2.[7] These data can be rationalized by invoking an independent pathway of B_{peroxo} decomposition, which plays a larger role at insufficient absolute (rather than relative) H_2O_2 concentrations.

At room temperature the $[Fe_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$ complex causes intense effervescence of the H_2O_2 acetonitrile solution. Significant catalatic activity has been reported for $[Fe_2(\mu\text{-}O)(\mu\text{-}O_2CCH_3)(tpa)_2]^{2+}$ in such conditions.[17] To determine, if the excess of H_2O_2 survives at low temperature, additional double-mixing experiments were carried out. The 5 mM H_2O_2 solution was first mixed with 0.5 mM $[Fe_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$ solution and then, upon the completion of apparent spectral changes, with a fresh portion of 0.25 mM $[Fe_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$ solution. Kinetic quantitation showed that no more than 2 moles of H_2O_2 per mole of the Fe_2-complex had been consumed in the first mixing. Thus, the

catalatic process in this system is negligible and suppressed at $-40\ ^\circ$C.

In the other series of double-mixing experiments the $[Fe_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$ solution was first mixed with H_2O_2 solution, and upon the completion of apparent spectral changes, with a fresh portion of more concentrated H_2O_2 solution. It was found that the ratio $H_2O_2 : Fe_2 \geq 1.5 \pm 0.1$ was enough to consume all of the initial diiron complex and prevent the formation of new B_{peroxo} in the second mixing. This confirms the presence of an irreversible stage in the formation of B_{peroxo} and suggests that the starting complex $[Fe_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$ is not regenerated in the system. The stoichiometry of the initial interaction between $[Fe_2(\mu\text{-}O)(tpa)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{3+}$ and H_2O_2 to give B_{peroxo} is most probably $1:1$, with some H_2O_2 consumed in the concomitant formation of the $Fe^{III}Fe^{IV}$ complex and in a minor catalatic process.

Other kinetic experiments regarding the formation and reactivity of $Fe_2O_2(H)$ diamond cores are currently under way.

Acknowledgements

Notes and references

1 A. M. Valentine and S. J. Lippard, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 3925.
2 L. Que, Jr., J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 3933.
3 B. J. Wallar and J. D. Lipscomb, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 2625.
4 A. M. Valentine, S. S. Stahl and S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 3876.
5 Y. Dong, H. Fujii, M. P. Hendrich, R. A. Leising, G. Pan, C. R. Randall, E. C. Wilkinson, Y. Zang, L. Que, Jr., B. G. Fox, K. Kauffmann and E. Münck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 2778; H.-F. Hsu, Y. Dong, L. Shu, V. G. Young, Jr. and L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 5230.
6 C. Kim, Y. Dong and L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 3635.
7 R. A. Leising, B. A. Brennan, L. Que, Jr., B. G. Fox and E. Münck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 3988.
8 Y. Dong, Y. Zang, L. Shu, E. C. Wilkinson, L. Que, Jr., K. Kauffmann and E. Münck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12683.
9 J. M. Bollinger, Jr., C. Krebs, A. Vicol, S. Chen, B. A. Ley, D. E. Edmondson and B. H. Huynh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 1094; P. Moënne-Loccoz, J. Baldwin, B. A. Ley, T. M. Loehr and J. M. Bollinger, Jr., Biochemistry, 1998, 37, 14659.
10 C. Duboc-Toia, S. Ménage, R. Y. N. Ho, L. Que, Jr., C. Lambeaux and M. Fontecave, Inorg. Chem., 1999, 38, 1261.
11 H. Sugimoto, T. Nagayama, S. Maruyama, S. Fujinami, Y. Yasuda, M. Suzuki and A. Uehara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1998, 71, 2267.
12 T. C. Brunold, N. Tamura, N. Kitajima, Y. Moro-oka and E. I. Solomon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 5674.
13 S. Hoz and E. Bunzel, Isr. J. Chem., 1985, 26, 313.
14 J. O. Edwards, F. Monacelli and G. Ortaggi, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1974, 11, 47; A. E. Merbach, Pure Appl. Chem. 1987, 59, 161.
15 H. Zheng, Y. Zang, Y. Dong, V. G. Young, Jr. and L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 2226.
16 F. Neese and E. I. Solomon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 12829.
17 T. Okuno, S. Ito, S. Ohba and Y. Nishida, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 3547.

Communication 9/04626G